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INTRODUCTION

The Danforth Center has implemented a citizen science program on soil science called MO DIRT – Missourians Doing Impact Research Together. This program focuses on soil science education, and soil health surveying and monitoring. MO DIRT is funded by the National Science Foundation EPSCoR program through the Missouri Transect. One of the goals of MO DIRT is to collaborate with the EPSCoR scientists by conducting soil health surveys in their research fields. These surveys can provide additional information for interpreting plant responses in agricultural systems.

This report presents the results of a soil health survey conducted at the end of the growing season of 2017 in the corn fields 8 and 22 at the South Farm Agricultural Research Center, a part of the University of Missouri in Columbia. The survey includes the results from measurements of physical, chemical and biological soil health indicators as well as a general interpretation of these measurements and their interrelationships.

The corn fields have been under study by Dr. Chris Topp and his Ph.D. student Adam Bray from the Donald Danforth Plant Science Center. They are interested in phenotyping roots from different corn genotypes under high and low nitrogen levels. Topp’s lab uses a phenomics approach to study crop root growth dynamics in response to environmental stress such as drought.

Adam Bray provided information on the experimental design and management of the fields 8 and 22. The field work was conducted by Aleah Brooks and Sandra Arango-Caro. The lab work was conducted at the Education Lab at the Donald Danforth Plant Science Center (DDPSC) and Dr. Kristen Veum’s Lab (KVL) and the Soil Testing Lab (STL), both at the University of Missouri in Columbia.

Dr. Kristen Veum has been a soil science advisor of MO DIRT and the soil tests conducted in her lab were provided without any cost.
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METHODS

The collection of soil samples and environmental variables for the soil health survey was conducted on October 2, 2017 in fields 8 (38.906379°, -92.280642°) and field 22 (38.903446°, -92.284558°) at the South Farm Agricultural Research Center (3600 East New Haven Rd., Columbia, MO 65201). These fields were cultivated with several genotypes of corn (Figure 1). Field 8 had matured plants ready for harvesting. This field is considered a high-nitrogen field since over the years it has experienced soybean rotations. On the other hand, field 22 is considered a low-nitrogen field, since plants have been removed at the end of the growing seasons to prevent the nitrogen accumulated in the plants to return to the soil. This field was already brush-hogged by when the soil sampling was conducted and few corn plants were left lying on the ground. Both fields were treated with herbicides and fertilizers, but field 22 was not treated with nitrogen. Also, both fields were irrigated, and the last irrigation was several weeks before the soil health survey. The soil sampling was conducted at least two days after rain to ensure that the soil was at field capacity (the amount of water content held in the soil after excess water has been drained away).

Soil sampling design

Sixteen composite soil samples were collected from four corn genotypes (Navajo, Sakwafqua, Pueblo Blue, and Palomero toluqueno) in two fields (8 and 22). A composite soil sample consisting of three subsamples was collected per row. A row (plot) represented ten individuals of a particular corn genotype. Two replicate composite soil samples were collected per genotype per field (Figure 2). Soil samples in field 22 were collected in the areas where the respective corn rows existed for each genotype. Single air temperature and soil temperature measurements were taken at each of the sample plots (rows). Soil samples were tested in the lab for physical, chemical and biological soil health indicators.

Variables

The following environmental variables were measured at the collection site of each composite soil sample:

- Air temperature at 1.5 m above the soil line (one measurement per composite soil sample)
- Soil temperature at 5 cm depth (one measurement per composite soil sample)
Figure 2. Soil sampling design in portions of the corn fields 8 and 22 in South Farm Agricultural Research Center. Each cell represents a row of ten plants of a particular genotype.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field 8 (High nitrogen field)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sakwafqua N</td>
<td>Palomero N</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue N</td>
<td>Navajo N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palomero Toluqueno N</td>
<td>Palomero Toluqueno S</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue S</td>
<td>Navajo S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakwafqua S</td>
<td>Navajo S</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue S</td>
<td>Sakwafqua N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field 22 (Low nitrogen field)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Navajo N</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue N</td>
<td>Palomero Toluqueno S</td>
<td>Sakwafqua N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palomero Toluqueno S</td>
<td>Palomero Toluqueno S</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue S</td>
<td>Sakwafqua S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N – North
S – South
The following soil health indicators (variables) were measured for each soil sample at three labs: the Education Lab at the Donald Danforth Plant Science Center (DDPSC), and Dr. Kristen Veum’s Lab (KVL) and the Soil Testing Lab (STL), both at the University of Missouri in Columbia.

The soil samples were collected from the first 5 cm of soil depth.

Physical soil health indicators:
- Color (DDPSC)
- Texture (DDPSC)
- Water content (% gravimetric water content) (DDPSC)
- Aggregate stability (KVL)

Chemical soil health indicators:
- pHs (STL)
- Primary macronutrients: Nitrogen as nitrate (NO₃), phosphorous, and potassium (STL)
- Secondary macronutrients: Magnesium and calcium (STL)
- Cation exchange capacity (STL)

Biological soil health indicators:
- Soil microbial respiration at field temperature (DDPSC)
- Active carbon (DDPSC and KVL)
- % Organic matter (STL)

Protocols

The protocols that describe how the different variables were measured are available at:

Donald Danforth Plant Science Center Lab (DDPSC): The protocols used are from the MO DIRT citizen science program and are included in this report in Appendix 1. Also, they are available electronically at modirt.missouriepscor.org/sites/default/files/files/Soil%20Health%20Survey%20Manual_Master(1).pdf.

Kristen Veum’s Lab (KVL): Protocols for active carbon and soil wet aggregate stability are in Appendix 2.

Soil Testing Lab (STL): Information on the protocols for chemical parameters is available at soilplantlab.missouri.edu/soil/soilprocedures.aspx.
RESULTS

Environmental parameters

Air and soil temperature

Soil temperature affects climate, plant growth and soil dynamics. Soil is an insulator for the heat that flows between the terrestrial portion of the earth and the atmosphere. The ideal soil temperature for plant growth ranges between 18°C and 24°C and becomes a limiting factor for plants performance, microbial activity and soil respiration when it rises above 35 to 40 °C.

The sampling day was sunny and bright with low wind. In the study fields, air temperature ranged between 26°C and 30°C (Table 1). On average, there was no difference in air temperature between the two fields (8 and 22) as expected.

Soil temperature ranged between 20°C and 29°C (Table 1). This indicates that some sampling sites were above the ideal soil temperature at the time of the sampling. However, corn is a warm season crop and in the study fields the plants grew successfully. On average, soil temperatures were lower in the field 8 as expected (22.5°C), since in this field the corn plants were generating shade at the time of sampling. On the other hand, field 22 was not shaded (24.5°C) due to the plant removal.

In two sampling sites, the soil temperature was 1-2°C higher than the air temperature. It is possible that at these locations, the soil held heat longer than neighboring areas due to local micro-environmental conditions.

Table 1. Air and soil temperature data (See pages 17-19 for protocols).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Replicate</th>
<th>Air temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Soil Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Physical soil health indicators

Soil texture

Soil texture is determined by the presence and relative proportions of the three types of particles that make up soil: sand, silt, and clay. Depending on their texture, soils will vary in their ability to retain water and nutrients.

In the study fields, the dominant soil textural class was silty clay (Table 2). Silty clay soils contain about half silt and half clay particles, with less than 20% sand particles. Silty soils have relatively small pore spaces that allow water to be stored and available for plants. These are well-drained soils that are optimal for corn production.

Soil color

Soil color is an indicator of various chemical processes acting on soil. These processes include the weathering of geologic material, the oxidation-reduction reactions on soil minerals (mainly iron and manganese) and the decomposition of organic matter. Soil colors were recorded according to the Munsell Color System. The notation of this system has three components: hue (specific color), value (lightness and darkness) and chroma (color intensity) (e.g. hue value/chroma as 10YR 5/3).

A uniform brown color was observed among soil samples. The hue indicated that the colors of the soil samples were in the YR range (yellow-red spectral color range) towards the yellow end, and the value and chroma indicated that the soils were medium-dark with a low color intensity (Table 2). The brown color indicates good air-water relations and may be due to the presence of iron. The level of darkness indicates low amounts of organic matter as confirmed by the lab tests (see Table 6).

Table 2. Soil texture and soil color data (See pages 20-24 for protocols).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Replicate</th>
<th>Soil texture</th>
<th>Soil color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Silty clay loam</td>
<td>10 YR 3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Silty clay</td>
<td>10 YR 4/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Silty clay</td>
<td>10 YR 3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Silty clay</td>
<td>10 YR 3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>10 YR 3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Silty clay loam</td>
<td>10 YR 3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Silty clay loam</td>
<td>10 YR 3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Silty clay</td>
<td>10 YR 3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Silty clay</td>
<td>10 YR 2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Silty clay</td>
<td>10 YR 3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Silty clay loam</td>
<td>10 YR 3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Silty clay</td>
<td>10 YR 3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Silty clay</td>
<td>10 YR 3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Silty clay</td>
<td>10 YR 3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Silty clay loam</td>
<td>10 YR 2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Silty clay</td>
<td>10 YR 3/3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Soil water content**

How much water is stored in the soil determines the soil’s ability to moderate the hydrological cycle, influences soil properties (color, pH, horizons) and processes, and limit biological activity.

Soil water content was estimated in terms of grams of water per gram of dry soil (gravimetric water content $g/g$). In general, soil water content in most soils ranges between 0.05 and 0.50 $g/g$.

Water content (WC) in the study fields was on the lower end of the range. On average, water content was higher in field 8 (0.05 $g/g$) than field 22 (0.038 $g/g$) as expected (Table 3). Field 8 was irrigated and the corn plants were not harvested, reducing soil water evaporation in this field. On the other hand, field 22 was not irrigated and was left without vegetation, allowing for greater soil water evaporation. Soil in the study site was dominated by similar amounts of silt and clay particles that hold water efficiently. Thus, low water levels were likely influenced by temporal environmental conditions.

This preliminary data shows the highest values of water content in soil planted with the Pueblo Blue genotype (2 replicates in field 8 and one in field 22).

**Soil wet aggregate stability**

Soil aggregates are groups of soil particles bound to each other by structures, exudates and “glues” from fungi, earthworms, microbes and organic matter decomposition. Aggregate stability is the ability of soil aggregates to resist disintegration under disruptive forces (e.g. tillage, water, wind). Thus, it is highly dependent on organic matter, biological activity, and the mineral components. It increases as organic matter increases, making the soil less vulnerable to erosion. Wet aggregate stability (WAS) indicates how well a soil can resist the impact of water forces and is rated as: low <40%, medium 40-60%, high 60-80% and very high 80-100%.

The percentage of WAS among the study fields ranged between 14% and 41% (Table 3). These values are low indicating that the soil at these fields is very vulnerable to water impact and can be easily eroded. This is consistent with the low levels of organic matter found in the study field (Table 6). On average, WAS was higher at field 22 (28%) than in field 8 (23%). Although the corn plants were collected from the fields, their roots and part of their stalks and leaves were left to decompose, possibly enriching the soil with organic matter. Wet aggregate stability in soil planted with the Navajo genotype showed the highest values in both fields.
Table 3. Soil water content and wet aggregate stability data (See pages 25-26 and 33-34 for protocols).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Rep.</th>
<th>Weight of wet soil (g) *</th>
<th>Weight of dry soil (g)</th>
<th>Gravimetric water content (WC) (g/g)</th>
<th>Wet aggregate stability (WAS) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The wet weight of all soil samples is equal because these samples were previously used for the soil respiration experiments where all the samples had 90 grams of fresh soil.

Chemical soil health indicators

pH

Soil pH is a parameter used to describe how acidic or basic the soil is. Soil pH is important in determining the availability of nutrients to plants and how easily they can take up nutrients from the soil. In this study, the pH parameter is the active acidity in the soil that indicates the free hydrogen ions in the soil solution. The Soil Testing Lab at the University of Missouri measures the pH from a soil salt solution (pHs). A pHs reading is more stable than a pH reading from a soil water solution because even when a soils’s acidity is unchanged, the soil pH measurement may vary due to changes in salt levels in the soil (e.g. addition of nitrogen and potassium, decomposition of organic matter and minerals, leaching, etc. The rating of soil pHs for crops is: very low <4.5, low 4.5-5.3, medium 5.3-6.0, high 6.0-7.5 and very high >7.5. Soils with a pHs between 6.1 to 6.5 have the optimum pHs for crop growth.

In field 8, pHs ranged between 6.5 and 6.8 indicating good pHs levels to make nutrients available to the corn plants (Table 4). On the other hand, in field 22, pHs ranged between 5.2 and 6 indicating
slightly acidic soils. Lower pH levels in this field could be attributed in part to the lack of treatment with nitrogen fertilizer and or the additional decomposition of the corn plants left in the field after the brush-hogged.

**Soil nutrients**

*Macronutrients* are the nutrients that plants need in large amounts. The fertility of the soil indicates the availability of these nutrients for the plants. The primary macronutrients are nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium, and secondary macronutrients include magnesium and calcium. Potassium, calcium and magnesium form positively-charged ions that are held in the soil by negatively charged soil particles. Nitrogen and phosphorous form negatively-charged ions that are not held well by soil particles. Consequently, these two elements are easily lost from the soil due to leaching (removal from the soil as water passes through it).

**Nitrogen** was measured as nitrogen in the nitrate form (NO$_3$-N), a mineralized form that is readily available to plants. Availability of nitrogen in the soil depends on biological activity and therefore fluctuates with changes in temperature and moisture. Optimal nitrogen levels in the soil available for corn, varies depending on the number of bushels of corn planned to produce per year, the growth stage of the plants, and the time of the year. In general, the levels of nitrogen in nitrate in the soil follow these rating categories: low <20, adequate 20-41, high 41-75 and excessive >75 ppm.

Nitrogen in nitrate in the study fields ranged between 7.5 and 30.4 ppm (Table 4). On average, field 8 had higher levels of nitrogen (20.6 ppm) than field 22 (13.2 ppm) as expected, but both showed low levels of nitrogen. This could be explained in part, to be the end of the season when nitrogen in the soil has been depleted by the corn plants.

Soil samples planted with the Palomero genotype in both sites showed the lowest levels of nitrogen. On the other hand, soil planted with the Navajo genotype showed the highest levels of nitrogen.

**Phosphorous** was determined as plant-available phosphorous in the soil. In general, the recommended level of phosphorous for row crops is about 45 pounds per acre (lbs/A), and above 200 lbs/A, the levels of phosphorous are considered excessive.

Phosphorus in the study fields ranged between 54 and 114 lbs/A (Table 4). On average, phosphorous was higher in field 8 (101.4 lbs/A) than in field 22 (63 lbs/A). These levels are above the ideal levels for crop growth, but are not excessive. On average, soil planted with the genotype Sakwafqua showed the highest level of phosphorous.

**Potassium** was determined as exchangeable potassium in the soil. Desired potassium level in corn with average CEC of 14 as in the study fields is 290 lbs/A.

In the study field, potassium ranged between 284 and 696 lbs/A with higher levels in field 8 (average 440 lbs/A) than in field 22 (average 380 lbs/A) (Table 4). These values indicated good levels of potassium available for the corn. Soil planted with the Navajo genotype had the highest values while soil planted with the Palomero genotype showed the lowest values.
Magnesium is determined as exchangeable magnesium, the magnesium available to plants. The soil test categories for this element are: low <120 lbs/A, adequate 120 - 360 lbs/A, and high >360 lbs/A.

In the study fields magnesium levels were high (368 - 696 lbs/A) (Table 4). On average, field 8 had higher levels of magnesium (440 lbs/A) than field 22 (380 lbs/A). Soil with the Sakwafqua genotype had the highest levels (585 lbs/A) and soil planted with Palomero had the lowest (458 lbs/A).

Calcium was determined as exchangeable calcium, the calcium cations available to plants that are attached to the negatively charged soil particles. Adequate levels for this element in the soil are between 2000 and 4000 lbs/A.

On average, field 8 had higher levels of calcium (4778 lbs/A) than field 22 (3760 lbs/A) (Table 4). These were adequate levels of calcium in the study fields. No major differences in calcium levels were found among soil samples planted with the different genotypes.

CEC (Cation exchange capacity) is a measure of the soil’s capacity to retain and release cations (positively charged elements) such as potassium, calcium and magnesium. These cations are held in place in soil by particles that are negatively-charged (anions) found in clay and organic matter. Thus, soils with high clay content and or organic matter content have high CEC, which translates into higher fertility. The CEC rating system is: <5 sand, 5.1-10 sandy loam, 10.1 – 18 silt loam, 18.1 – 24 clay loam, and >24 clay meq/100 g.

The CEC at the study fields vary between 12.4 and 16.8 meq/100 g (Table 4). This indicates that the soil samples have silt loam soil textures with relatively low cation exchange capacity. See Table 2 for soil texture estimates.

Biological soil health indicators

Soil respiration

Soil respiration (SR) is the gaseous flux of carbon dioxide (CO₂) from soils to the atmosphere. Soil respiration results from ecological processes such as decomposition of soil organic matter and plant litter by soil microorganisms, as well as from respiration from plant roots and soil fauna. It is an important indicator of soil health because it measures microbial activity that is critical for the conversion of nutrients into forms that plants can use. SR is affected by environmental factors. As soil temperature increases, microbial activity also increases up to a point when temperature becomes a limiting factor (around 35 °C). If temperature continues to rise, microbial activity declines. A similar relationship is found between SR and water content. SR is optimal until water displaces the air, restricting oxygen availability.
Table 4. Results of chemical soil health indicators from the Soil Testing Lab at the University of Missouri.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Rep.</th>
<th>pHs</th>
<th>Nitrogen in nitrate (NO₃-N) (ppm)</th>
<th>Phosphorus (P) (lbs/A)</th>
<th>Potassium (K) (lbs/A)</th>
<th>Magnesium (Mg) (lbs/A)</th>
<th>Calcium (Ca) (lbs/A)</th>
<th>Cation exchange capacity (CEC) (meq/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>4528</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>4679</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>4527</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>4090</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>4917</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>5147</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>5297</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>5039</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>4498</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>3407</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>3771</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>3368</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>3748</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>3383</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3792</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>4114</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Microbial soil respiration data after 24 hours at field temperature (See pages 27-30 for protocol).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Rep.</th>
<th>Soil respiration *</th>
<th>Interpretation of biological microbial activity based on Solvita values for soil respiration (CO2-C lbs/A/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>Very active biologically with high organic matter turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Medium activity - may be accumulating organic matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Medium activity - may be accumulating organic matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>Very active biologically with high organic matter turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Medium activity - may be accumulating organic matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>Active microbe population and good organic matter supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>Active microbe population and good organic matter supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Medium activity - may be accumulating organic matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>Very active biologically with high organic matter turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Medium activity - may be accumulating organic matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>Active microbe population and good organic matter supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>Medium activity - may be accumulating organic matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>Very active biologically with high organic matter turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Marginal biological activity with low organic matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>Very active biologically with high organic matter turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Marginal biological activity with low organic matter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Soil respiration as average CO₂-C (lbs/A/day) after 24 hours at field temperature.
In this study, soil microbial respiration was expressed as average content of carbon in carbon dioxide at field temperature (CO$_2$-C pounds/acre/day). Soil respiration in the study fields ranged between 3 and 42.5 lbs/A/d, from low to medium-high biological activity (Table 5). On average, soil respiration was similar between field 8 and 22. The soil with the Navajo genotype showed the highest respiration value (24.25 lbs/A/d).

**Active carbon**

The active carbon test indicates the amount of carbon in the organic matter readily available for microbes as a source of energy and carbon, thus driving biological activity and nutrient cycling.

Based on the MO DIRT protocol, the level of active carbon was low in all soil sampling sites, indicating a poor soil quality (Table 6). This is consistent with low levels of active carbon based on the test conducted in Veum’s Lab with values ranging between 109 and 207 lbs/A (Table 6). SR levels may have contributed to the depletion of active carbon by the end of the growing season due to microbial activity.

**Organic matter**

Soil organic matter is the organic component of soil and consists of plant residues, living soil organisms, decomposing organic matter and stabilized organic matter (humus). Soil organic matter is the most important soil health indicator. It provides food for microorganisms that facilitate the availability of nutrients for plants, minimizes leaching of nutrients, buffers the effects of high acidity, increases the moisture retention of the soil, the available water capacity and the water filtration, helps to minimize soil compaction, holds soil aggregates together, decomposes toxic substances, and acts as a carbon sink.

The amount of organic matter in the soil ranges between 1 and 20%. In most productive agricultural soils, organic matter ranges between 3-6%. In the study fields, organic matter had relatively low levels between 2.1% and 2.6% (Table 6).
Table 6. Active carbon and percent organic matter data (See pages 31-32 and 35-36 for protocols on active carbon).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Rep.</th>
<th>Soil quality</th>
<th>MO DIRT (DDSPS Lab) Active carbon (lbs/A)</th>
<th>Veum’s Lab Active carbon (lbs/A)</th>
<th>MU Lab Organic matter (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>204.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>190.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>203.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>202.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>202.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>204.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>178.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>207.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>135.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>109.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>173.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Palomero Toluquero</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>160.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>195.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pueblo Blue</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>147.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>155.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sakwafqua</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;0-232</td>
<td>162.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUDING REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Overall, the soil at the corn fields 8 and 22 at South Farm Agricultural Research Center was relatively healthy.

- Physical indicators: The silty soil texture of the study fields was ideal for corn, water content was poor, and soil aggregate stability was low, making the soil vulnerable to erosion.
- Chemical indicators: pH was ideal in field 8 and slightly acidic in field 22. Phosphorous, potassium, calcium and magnesium had adequate levels in both fields. However, their values were higher in field 8. Nitrogen was at low levels in both sites, probably due to low levels of organic matter limiting nitrogen availability. Higher levels of nitrogen were found in field 8 as expected, due to planned field manipulations.
- Biological indicators: Soil respiration indicated healthy biological activity. This may explain the low levels of active carbon probably depleted due to microbial activity by the end of the growing season. Organic matter was below the range expected for croplands. Consequently, nitrogen levels and soil aggregate stability were low due to their dependency on organic matter availability.

Soil health indicators differed among soils planted with the different genotypes:

- Soil planted with the Navajo genotype had the highest levels of soil respiration, wet aggregate stability, potassium and nitrogen. On the other hand this soil had the lowest levels of active carbon.
- Soil planted with the Palomero genotype had the lowest levels of wet aggregate stability, calcium, magnesium, potassium and nitrogen.
- Soil planted with the Pueblo genotype had the highest levels of active carbon and water content.
- Soil planted with the Sakwafqua genotype had the highest levels of phosphorous, calcium and magnesium. On the other hand this soil had the lowest levels of soil respiration.
- Since only four soil samples were collected per genotype (2 per field), it is difficult to conclude if the differences in soil health indicators are due to differential adaptations of the genotypes in nutrient and water uptake and other biological activities such as soil respiration.

This soil health survey was based on one-time soil testing at the end of the growing season and generalization cannot be made for the status of soil health at other stages of plant growth. It is recommended that future research on soil health in croplands should include measurements of soil health indicators during early stages of plant development, the peak of vegetative growth, and during the flowering and seeding stages.

This report only provides descriptions of general patterns of soil health indicators. It is recommended to apply statistical analyses to examine if these indicators present significant differences based on nitrogen differences between fields, corn genotypes and other environmental parameters for the growing season.
REFERENCES FOR SOIL TEST INTERPRETATION


Appendix 1. MO DIRT protocols of soil health indicators.

AIR TEMPERATURE PROTOCOL

Background

Air temperature is a measure of the kinetic energy (energy of motion) of the gases that make up the air. As gas molecules move faster, air temperature increases. In other words, air temperature describes how cold or hot the air is. Air temperature is important to understand how the atmosphere works in order to make weather predictions. For example, air temperature affects the humidity of the atmosphere, influencing the fueling of storms. Also, air temperature influences precipitation since rain, sleet, snow, or freezing rain will fall depending upon the temperature of the air.

Many biological processes are also dependent on air temperature. The metabolism in animals can slow down or increase depending upon the temperature of the environment that surrounds them. Thus, feeding, mating, migrating and other animal behaviors are partially regulated by temperature. In plants, the right temperature is needed to trigger seed germination and to promote plant growth. This indirectly affects the soil environment, for as healthy plants grow under the right environmental conditions, they develop healthy root systems on which a large variety of organisms depend.

Materials

- Clipboard and data sheet
- Measuring tape
- Permanent marker
- Thermometer (the same to measure soil temperature)
- Watch or timer

Measurement procedures

1. Calibrate the thermometer following the instructions that are in the box.
2. At the sampling location determine the height at which you will measure air temperature (1.5 m).
3. Hold the thermometer at this height and wait until the sensor gives a stable reading (~2 minutes).
4. Record the reading on the data sheet.
5. Repeat steps at each of the remaining sampling location.
SOIL TEMPERATURE PROTOCOL

Background

Soil temperature affects climate, plant growth, soil properties and soil processes such as rate of decomposition of organic waste. It is directly linked to the temperature of the atmosphere. Soil is an insulator for the heat that flows between the terrestrial portion of the earth and the atmosphere. During sunny days, the soil absorbs energy from the sun (radiation) and its temperature increases. During the night, the soil releases heat into the air, which affects air temperature.

Soil temperature varies through the seasons. During the summer the temperature of the soil is relatively cool, while during the winter it is relatively warm when compared to air temperature. This can influence the activities of soil organisms, indicate the right time for seed germination or the right time for animals to hibernate or emerge from the ground. For example, soil temperature becomes a limiting factor for plant growth, microbial activity, and soil respiration when it goes beyond 35 to 40 °C. While the ideal soil temperature for plants to grow ranges between 18-24 °C. However, these ranges will depend on species adaptations to local environments.

Soil temperature also influences the state of water (liquid, gas, or frozen), which, combined with the amount of water in the soil, affects soil properties. Furthermore, soil temperature influences decomposition rates that can affect horizon characteristics. In cold environments, the decomposition rate is low because soil microorganisms are less active. This can result in dark-colored soils. In warm tropical climates, weathering is increased, which produces iron oxides and can result in reddish-colored soils.

Lastly, soil temperature influences the evaporation of soil moisture, which affects the humidity of the air, and consequently, the climate. On the other hand, the amount of soil moisture affects the rate at which soil heats and cools. Wet soils heat slower than dry soils because the water in the pore spaces between the soil particles absorbs more heat than air in those spaces.

Materials

- Brush
- Clipboard and data sheet
- Nail
- Permanent marker
- Soil thermometer
- Watch or timer

Soil thermometer at 5 cm depth.
Measurement procedures

1. Calibrate the thermometer following the instructions that are in the box.
2. Find the indent in the thermometer at 5 cm from the tip.
3. At the sampling location remove leaf litter or other debris and insert the thermometer up to 5 cm into the soil and wait until the sensor gives a stable reading (~2 minutes). If you cannot insert the thermometer to the desired depth try again at a different spot a few centimeters away or use a nail to make a hole to place the thermometer. Avoid moving the nail to the sides, as this generates air pockets that alter the temperature reading. Record the reading in the data sheet.
4. Repeat the steps at each sampling location.
5. Clean the thermometer with a cloth or a brush to remove attached soil.
SOIL TEXTURE PROTOCOL

Background

Soil texture is described by the presence and relative proportions of the three types of particles that make up soil: sand, silt, and clay. These particles differ in size as follows (Table 2):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter of the particles (mm)</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 2</td>
<td>Stony structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – 0.2</td>
<td>Coarse sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 – 0.02</td>
<td>Fine sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02 – 0.002</td>
<td>Silt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>Clay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Particles classification according to the International Society of Soil Science

Depending on their texture, soils will vary in their ability to retain water and nutrients. A simple way to examine soil texture is to physically handle dry and wet soil samples, using your fingers to work with small soil samples. Sandy soils feel rough (gritty) because sand particles have hard edges. These soils do not hold many nutrients because they have large pores that allow gases and water to move through them rapidly. The sand particles do not adhere to each other and cannot stay together. Silty soils are smooth and powdery, and when wet, they make crumbles or ribbons, but are not sticky. Silty soils have smaller pore spaces than sandy soils, therefore, they can hold more water. Clayey soils are smooth when dry and sticky when wet, making balls or ribbons that stay together. Because their particles are so small, clayey soils can hold a lot of nutrients, water, and gases.

Most soils contain different combinations of sand, silt, and clay. The Soil Textural Triangle (Figure 1) shows the twelve possible soil classes based on the relative percentages of these combinations of textures. The most appropriate soil class for plant growth is loam, which can absorb water very efficiently. The loam soil is composed of mostly sand and silt, with a smaller amount of clay.
Materials

- Clipboard and data sheet
- Distilled water
- Permanent marker
- Plastic squeeze bulb pipette
- Sealable bag
- Soil texture feel-method diagram
- Stick
- Table knife
- Trowel

Measurement procedures

*How to take the soil texture reading:*

1. Use the feel-test method to determine the texture of each of the soil samples. Use the flow diagram in the next page.
2. Record the information on the data sheet.
Soil Texture by Feel

Start: Place soil in palm of hand. Add water drop-wise and knead the soil into a smooth and plastic consistency, like moist putty.
Does the soil remain in a ball when squeezed?

Yes

No

Add more water

Add dry soil

Is the soil too dry?

No

Yes

Is the soil too wet?

No

Yes

Sand

Place ball of soil between thumb and forefinger, gently pushing the soil between with the thumb, squeezing it upward into a ribbon. Form a ribbon of uniform thickness and width. Allow ribbon to emerge and extend over the forefinger, breaking from its own weight.

Does the soil form a ribbon?

Yes

Loamy Sand

No

What kind of ribbon does it form?

Moisten a pinch of soil in palm and rub with forefinger

Does it feel very gritty?

Yes

Sandy Loam

No

Does it feel equally gritty and smooth?

Yes

Loam

No

Does it feel very smooth?

Yes

Silt Loam

No

Forms a weak ribbon less than 1" before breaking

LOAM

Forms a ribbon 1-2" before breaking

CLAY LOAM

Forms a ribbon 2" or longer before breaking

CLAY

Sandy Clay Loam

Sandy Clay

Loam

Clay Loam

Clay

Silt Clay Loam

Silty Clay

Silty Clay

Silty Clay
SOIL COLOR PROTOCOL

Background

Soil color is an indicator of various chemical processes acting on soil. These processes include the weathering of geologic material, the oxidation-reduction reactions on soil minerals (mainly iron and manganese), and the decomposition of organic matter. Climate, physical geography, and geology influence these processes.

Soil color can be used to estimate the organic matter content of the soil, to indicate the effects of human disturbance and past vegetation, to identify, classify and evaluate soils, and to locate where the soil water table is, among many other soil activities.

There are two primary coloring agents in soil: organic matter and iron. Dark surface soil usually indicates high content of organic material, while shades of red, yellow, and gray usually relate to the quantity and form of iron present.

Color development and distribution of color within a soil profile are part of weathering. Also, as organic matter decomposes into black humus, it coats surfaces of soil as it permeates through the soil. Humus color decreases with depth, and iron pigments become more apparent. So, as depth below the surface soil increases, colors become lighter, yellower, or redder. See Table 1 below for the interpretation of soil colors.

The Munsell System of Color Notation (www.munsell.com) is a system used to compare soil colors anywhere in the world. This system helps scientists to be consistent in the interpretation of colors. It has three components: hue (specific color), value (lightness and darkness), and chroma (color intensity) that are arranged in books of color chips. Soil samples are held next to the chips to find a visual match and assigned the corresponding Munsell notation. For example, a brown soil may be classified as: hue value/chroma (10YR 5/3).

Materials

- Clipboard and data sheet
- Distilled water
- Permanent marker
- Plastic squeeze bulb pipette
- Sealable bag
- Soil texture feel-method diagram
- Stick
- Table knife
- Trowel
Table 1. General interpretation of soil colors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOIL COLOR</th>
<th>DUE TO THE PRESENCE OF:</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dark or black</td>
<td>Organic matter</td>
<td>Mostly found at soil surfaces. Associated with well-aggregated soils with above-average nutrient levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear or white</td>
<td>Calcium and magnesium carbonates, soluble salts or high proportion of sand (quartz crystals)</td>
<td>May indicate considerable leaching and low organic matter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red and bright yellowish</td>
<td>Iron is oxidized and not hydrated with water</td>
<td>Under dry conditions or well-drained soils. The iron oxides have strong surface charge properties that promote good aggregation of soil particles with sufficient porous that allow air and water for root development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowish brown/orange</td>
<td>Less oxidation of iron and hydration</td>
<td>Average air and moisture conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucky soil mass or clay with spots of red, yellow, and gray colors</td>
<td>Ferrous and ferric compounds</td>
<td>In soils that are waterlogged for at least one part of the year, or due to the activity of plant roots living in ponding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey/green/blurish-grey</td>
<td>Iron and manganese in reduced state</td>
<td>In waterlogged soils with lack of oxygen with colorless forms due to the loss of pigments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measurement procedures

How to take the soil color readings:

1. For each soil sample, assign a soil color using the soil color book.
2. Take a ped (soil aggregate) from the sample with your fingers and note whether it is moist, dry, or wet. If it is dry, moisten it slightly with water using a plastic squeeze bulb pipette.
3. Break the ped and hold it next to the color chart. Stand with the sun over your shoulder so that sunlight shines on the color chart and the soil sample you are examining.
4. Find the color in the color book that most closely matches the color of the inside surface of the ped. Be sure that all group participants agree on the choice of color.
5. Record the chosen color in the data sheet.
SOIL WATER CONTENT PROTOCOL

Background

How much water is stored in the soil determines the soil’s ability to moderate the hydrological cycle, influences weather and climate, and maintains soil-water balance. Soil moisture also influences other soil properties (color, pH, horizons) and processes. Soil processes such as soil respiration and decomposition of organic matter are influenced by soil moisture’s effect on microbial activity. Soils saturated with water can be unhealthy, supporting only anaerobic microbial activity and promoting plant roots decay over time. On the other hand, in dry soils, the relatively few water molecules are strongly attached to soil particles preventing the use by soil organisms.

Soil water content is expressed as the mass (weight) of water in a soil sample (Gravimetric water content) and as the volume of water in a known volume of soil (Volumetric water content).

Materials (some are optional)

- Balance or scale (0.1 grams precision and 400 grams minimum capacity)
- Brush
- Clipboard and data sheet
- Compostable bowls (5)
- Hot pad/oven mitt
- Knives: table knife and flat-bladed knife (pocket knife)
- Oven capable of maintaining a temperature not exceeding 105°C, or a 250 Watt infrared heating lamp (1 or 2 bulbs) that reaches temperatures of 65-90°C, or a fan
- Newspaper (if you air dry the soil samples)
- Permanent marker
- Sealable bags (5)
- Soil sample
- Tray
- Trowel

Measurement procedures

1. Make a composite soil sample from three locations within your sampling unit (e.g. row, plot, subplot).
2. At each of the three locations collect approximately 1.5 cups of soil from the first 5 cm depth.
3. Clean the sample from plant material (e.g. leaves, bark, roots, etc.) and rocks.
4. Weight the fresh soil sample (wet soil) and record the reading in the data sheet.
5. Air dry the soil sample.
6. Weight the dry soil sample and record the reading in the data sheet.
7. Calculate the percent gravimetric water content of your sample using the formula below and record it in your data sheet.

**Calculations of gravimetric water content**

\[
\% \text{ Gravimetric soil water content (I)} = \left( \frac{\text{weight of wet soil (C)} - \text{weight of dry soil (F)}}{\text{weight of dry soil (F)}} \right) \times 100.
\]

Where ...

- Weight of wet soil (C) = (weight of wet soil + bag (A)) – weight of bag (B)
- Weight of dry soil (F) = (weight of dry soil + container (D)) – weight of container (E)
SOIL RESPIRATION PROTOCOL

Background

Soil respiration is the gaseous flux of carbon dioxide (CO₂) from soils to the atmosphere. It represents one of the largest fluxes in the global carbon cycle. Soil respiration results from ecological processes such as decomposition of soil organic matter and plant litter by soil microorganisms, as well as from respiration of plant roots and soil fauna. It is an important indicator of soil health because it measures microbial activity that is critical for the conversion of nutrients into forms that plants can use.

Soils store a vast amount of organic carbon that can be released quickly or slowly into the atmosphere depending on soil respiration rates. Such rates are greatly influenced by several factors that make soil respiration very variable in space and time. Climate is a main driver of soil respiration because soil respiration increases as temperature rises, peaks under optimal soil moisture conditions, and decreases when soils are too wet or too dry. Vegetation type and phenology (timing of flowering, fruiting, and budding) also influence soil respiration through photosynthesis, because large amounts of carbon compounds from photosynthesis are allocated to plant roots and their associated symbiotic bacteria and fungi. Also, adding nitrogen to the soil reduces soil respiration because it causes a decline in the allocation of carbon to plant roots. Soils high in peat hold a vast amount of carbon. There is also a large amount of inorganic carbon in the form of carbonate that is associated with rock minerals in soil.

Agriculture and other human activities have a great impact on soil respiration by affecting soil factors that increase the release of soil CO₂ into the atmosphere. Consequently, soil respiration contributes to the dramatic increase of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere that are raising global temperatures affecting climate patterns.

In this protocol, you will use the Solvita ® method to measure microbial soil respiration. Microbial soil respiration is positively correlated with soil fertility and crop responses. The health of the soil microbial communities is directly associated with the amount of humus and mineralized nitrogen (the nitrogen available to plants as by-product of organic matter decomposition completed by soil microbes).

Materials

- Balance or scale (0.1 grams precision and 400 grams minimum capacity)
- Brush
- Data sheet
- Nitrile gloves
- Permanent marker
- Sealable bag
- Soil sample
- Soil thermometer
• Solvita kit (1 jar with lid, foil pouch with paddle, color chart). Keep the Solvita foil pouches in a cool place or in a refrigerator (must not be allowed to freeze) away from sunlight to prevent changes in temperature.
• Tray
• Trowel
• Watch

Procedures

1. Make a composite soil sample from three locations within your sampling unit (e.g. row, plot, subplot).
2. At each of the three locations collect approximately 1.5 cups of soil from the first 5 cm depth.
3. Using gloves, place the soil sample on the tray. Clean the soil sample as much as you can from roots and any other organic material as well as rocks.
4. Place the Solvita jar on the balance and zero-out the weight of the jar.
5. Fill the Solvita® jar with the soil, using the fill line as a guide, until it weighs 90 g.
6. Tap the bottom of the jar on a hard surface occasionally during filling to eliminate voids or air pockets.
7. Open foil pouch and insert gel paddle into the soil with the gel facing the clear side of the jar. Be careful not to jostle or tip jar. The soil should not touch the gel in the paddle.
8. Screw the lid on tightly and let the jar stand undisturbed for 24 hours, and keep it in a room with a controlled temperature of 20°C (70°F), away from sunlight.
9. Record in the data sheet the start time of the experiment and the color in the paddle at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, and 24 hours into the experiment. Use the color chart to determine the number for the color on the paddle. Note that the color on the paddle may not exactly match any of the colors on the chart. Select the best match.
10. Do not delay the reading of the gel paddle because the color changes over time with CO₂ release from the soil.
11. Dispose of the soil leftovers after setting up the experiment.
12. At the end of the experiment, clean the jars with mild soap and water and dispose of the paddles.

Data interpretation

Use Table 1 to translate the colors and numbers in the paddle and color chart to biological soil conditions, and emissions of carbon in the carbon dioxide (CO₂-C) or emissions of carbon dioxide (CO₂) to the atmosphere in cultivated soils. If your survey is in a natural habitat (e.g., forest, woodland, prairie), you may have to do an additional step as explained next.
Cultivated soils

Data interpretation in Table 1 is based on soil samples from moist cultivated soils tested at room temperature of 20°C (70°F) after 24 hours into the test.

Soils from natural habitats

Soils from some natural habitats might be “fast risers”, which means they are associated with high-functioning systems with high levels of organic matter and microbial rates. This might be reflected in a fast change in paddle colors within the first hours into the experiment. If by 5 hours into the experiment the paddle has already changed to the color 3 or higher, the soil is considered a fast riser. In this case, take the color reading at 5 hours and find the respective amount of CO₂-C lbs/acre/day in Table 1. However, continue recording the changes in color until the color 6 is reached. The table will give you a range of numbers for a particular color. Multiply both numbers in the range by 2.5, the conversion factor, to estimate the equivalent numbers after 24 hours. Write your result in the data sheet.

Temperature conversion to field conditions

Use Table 2 to determine the CO₂-C emissions at field temperature based on your results at room temperature at 24 hours. Follow these steps:
- In Table 2, find the average field soil temperature and the respective conversion factor.
- Divide the CO₂-C value at room temperature after 24 hours, found in Table 1, by the conversion factor found in Table 7. Do this calculation for both numbers of the range.
- Find this new CO₂-C value in Table 1. This value indicates the CO₂-C emissions at field temperature at 24 hours. Record this value in your data sheet.
- If you obtain a value that is greater than 160, this indicates that your soil sample has extremely high biological activity. This could be the result of a soil very rich in organic matter and or high soil temperatures.
Table 1. Solvita Field Test – Performed in test jar at 20-22°C (68-77°F) after 24 hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color reading of gels in paddles</th>
<th>Blue-Gray Color 0 - 1.0</th>
<th>Gray-Green Color &gt;1.0 - 2.5</th>
<th>Green Color &gt;2.5 - 3.5</th>
<th>Green-Yellow Color &gt;3.5 – 4.0</th>
<th>Yellow Color &gt;4.0 – 5.0</th>
<th>Bright Yellow Color &gt;5.0 – 6.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biological soil condition of cultivated soils</th>
<th>Extreme Low Activity</th>
<th>Low Activity</th>
<th>Medium – Low Activity</th>
<th>Ideal Activity</th>
<th>Medium – High Activity</th>
<th>Very High Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Associated with extremely depleted soils</td>
<td>Marginal biological activity with low organic matter</td>
<td>Medium activity - may be accumulating organic matter</td>
<td>Active microbe population and good organic matter supply</td>
<td>Very active biologically with very high organic matter turnover</td>
<td>High biological activity with excellent supply of organic matter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emissions (Flux) of CO₂-C as lbs / acre / day *</th>
<th>0.5 - 1</th>
<th>&gt;1 - 5</th>
<th>&gt;5 - 15</th>
<th>&gt;15 - 25</th>
<th>&gt;25 - 60</th>
<th>&gt;60 - 160</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>International emissions (flux) of CO₂ as g / m² / day **</th>
<th>0.2 – 0.4</th>
<th>&gt;0.4 - 2</th>
<th>&gt;2 - 6</th>
<th>&gt;6 - 10</th>
<th>&gt;10 - 25</th>
<th>&gt;25 - 65</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

* Units are CO₂-C (amount of carbon in the CO₂ gas). Results are likely to depend on a variety of factors such as depth of sampling, soil temperature, and field moisture.

** International Metric Units based on CO₂. To convert CO₂ values to CO₂-C, multiply CO₂ values by 0.273. To convert CO₂-C values to CO₂, multiply CO₂-C values by 3.7.

Table 2. Conversion of CO₂-C emissions at room temperature (20°C /70°F) to emissions at field temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field soil temperature*</th>
<th>5°C</th>
<th>10°C</th>
<th>15°C</th>
<th>20°C</th>
<th>30°C</th>
<th>40°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conversion factor</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If your average temperature in the field is an intermediate number between the values in this table, use intermediate values of the conversion factor. For example, if the average temperature is 25°C, divide your CO₂-C value by the conversion factor 0.75.
ACTIVE CARBON PROTOCOL

Background

Soil organic matter (SOM) is a widely acknowledged indicator of soil health. However, it does not have a definite chemical composition. The dominant element in SOM is soil organic carbon (SOC). Soil organic carbon contains high levels of recalcitrant forms (slowly altered by microbial activity) and small portions of labile fractions (decomposing readily). The labile fraction or active carbon is the type of carbon in the SOM that is readily available to the soil microbial community as a source of energy and carbon, driving much of the biological activity in the soil and the cycling of nutrients. Active carbon has fractions of microbial biomass carbon, particulate organic matter (particles less than 2 mm and greater than 0.053 mm in size), and soil carbohydrates.

Active carbon as a soil health indicator is positively correlated with percent organic matter, aggregate stability and with soil respiration rate, a measure of biological activity in the soil. Active carbon is very sensitive to land management practices and soil productivity, responding much sooner to changes in land management practices than total organic matter.

Materials

- Balance or scale (0.1 grams precision and 400 grams minimum capacity)
- Brush
- Clipboard and data sheet
- Color chart
- Composite soil sample (air dry)
- Distilled water
- Free-standing tube (30 ml)
- Nitrile gloves
- Plastic squeeze bulb pipette
- Potassium permanganate solution (0.2 M KMnO₄ in 1 M CaCl₂, 7.2 pH) (5 ml), (store in a cool place).
- Set of measuring spoons
- Tray
- Watch or timer

Measurement procedures

1. From the leftovers of a composite soil sample, take a soil subsample (1/4 cup).
2. Using gloves, crumble the soil gently to give an even, aggregate consistency and spread thinly on the metal tray.
3. Remove organic matter from the soil sample (e.g. roots, leaves, bark, animals, etc.) as well as rocks and any other big debris.
4. If the soil is moist, air-dry it for a couple of days. Mix the soil 2-3 times while drying. Do not use extreme heat.
5. Place 2 ml of the 0.2 M KMnO₄ solution in the free-standing tube and add distilled water to the 20 ml mark. Cap the tube and mix the solution.
6. Add 5 g of soil to the solution.
7. Cap the tube tightly and shake vigorously for exactly 2 min (~100 strokes/min) to oxidize the active carbon in the sample. Stand the tube on the tray for exactly 10 minutes, avoiding any kind of disturbance. Protect the sample from direct sunlight while the soil particles settle.
8. After the 10 minutes, use the color chart to determine the level of active carbon in the sample and record the results in the data sheet. The purple color becomes lighter as a result of the oxidation of the carbon.
9. At the end of the experiment, dispose of the solution in a sink, flush with water, and clean the materials with mild soap and water.

**Safety instructions**

- Potassium permanganate is a very powerful oxidizer and should not be stored near acids or fuel sources to prevent fires, explosions, and or toxic gas buildup.

- The storage of this chemical (powder) should be in a clean and dry sealed container. It can be stored for over a year.

- When the powder is mixed with water, it becomes a powerful dye and stains fabrics permanently, stains skin temporarily, and causes corrosion on any metal or masonry.

**Data interpretation**

Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) is an oxidizing agent that reacts with active carbon to partially bleach the deep purple permanganate color to light pink or clear. The safety data sheet for potassium permanganate is in Appendix 3). The lighter the color of the KMnO₄ solution after reacting with the soil, the greater the amount of active carbon and the better the quality of the soil.

The table below indicates soil quality based on the potassium permanganate method to estimate relative amounts of active carbon (Table 10).

**Table 10. Field color chart to estimate the amount of active carbon in a soil sample using the potassium permanganate test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil quality</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active carbon in pounds per acre (lbs/A)</td>
<td>&gt; 0 – 232</td>
<td>&gt; 232 – 464</td>
<td>&gt; 464 – 928</td>
<td>&gt; 928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2. Kristen’s Veum Lab protocols for wet aggregate stability and active carbon.

WET AGGREGATE STABILITY PROTOCOL

Based on Kellogg Soil Survey Laboratory Methods Manual (2014).

Procedure

Day 1
1. Assemble a 2 mm sieve on top of a 1 mm sieve, with a bottom pan. Sieve an entire sample of air-dried soil with a Gilson sieve shaker for 4 minutes.
2. Place the material that is retained on 1 mm sieve in a Falcon 50 ml centrifuge tube, discard the remaining material from the analysis.
   - If desired amount is not achieved (many replicates) it is acceptable to slightly crush the sample by hand or with mortar and pestle with minimum reduction in size.
3. Weigh 3.00 grams of the 2-to1 mm particle size in aluminum foil dishes.
4. Fill plastic bowls with 2 liters of DI water.
5. Place 0.5 mm sieves in plastic bowls. There must not be any air bubbles under the sieve screen. Tap the bottom of the sieve, or remove the sieve entirely to start over.
   - To better see the air pockets/bubbles turning off the lab lights helps
6. Evenly distribute the 3.00 grams of soil on the 0.5 mm sieve. Aggregates should not touch the sides.
7. Allow samples to sit overnight.

Day 2
1. Agitate the sample by raising and lowering the sieve in the bowl 20 times in 40 seconds.
   - On the upward strokes drain the sieve, but do not raise so high as to break water tension and air enters beneath the sieve.
2. Remove sieves from water bowls, place on plate, and dry in oven for 2 hours at 110°C.
3. Remove samples from oven, and weigh sieve+plate+dry sample. Record weight (Wt₁).
   - Let sieves cool a bit, extreme temperatures make the balances fluctuate.
   - The soil must now be removed to leave only sand on the sieve.
4. Fill the Sodium Hexametaphosphate labeled bowl with 2 liters of DI water and 25 ml of sodium hexametaphosphate solution.
5. Place the 0.5 mm sieve with sample into the sodium hexametaphosphate bowl, and use your fingers to rub the soil particles through the screen leaving only >.05 mm particles.
   - Allowing the sieve to soak in the solution suspended for 20-30 seconds speeds up the soil dispersion. Do not allow sand to stick to fingers for end weight.
6. Remove sieve from solution, and thoroughly rinse sieve with DI water to remove any sodium hexametaphosphate residue. Only sand is left on the sieve.
   - The NaHex solution in the bowl needs to be remade ~every 8 sieves
7. Place sieve on rinsed plate, and dry for ~2 hours at 110°C
8. Remove samples from oven, let cool and weigh sieve+plate+sand. Record weight (Wt₂).
9. Discard any sand or organic matter in the trash by brushing the sieves and plates.
10. Record weights on sieve+plate (Wt₃).
  • This could possibly be done on Day 1, as there isn’t as much time restraint.

Calculations

Aggregates Retained: Wr = Wt₁ − Wt₃
Sand Weight: Sw = Wt₂ − Wt₁₃
Aggregate % = (((Wr − Sw)/(Iw/(AD/OD)) − Sw)) X 100

Where

Iw = Initial sample weight (3.00 g.)
Wr = Total weight of aggregates retained on 0.5 mm sieve
Sw = Weight of 2 – to 0.5 mm sand
AD/OD = Air-dry/oven-dry weight (if not available, use 1.00)

Reagents

Sodium Hexametaphosphate solution:
  o Sodium hexametaphosphate (Na₄P₂O₇): 35.7 g
  o Sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃): 7.94 g
    ✓ Dissolve 35.7 g of Sodium hexametaphosphate & 7.94 g of Sodium carbonate in 900 ml of DI water.
    ✓ Use a large 2,000 ml beaker and a large stir bar. This solution takes a while to dissolve completely, and needs aggressive stirring.
    ✓ Transfer to a 1,000 ml volumetric flask and bring to volume with DI water.
    ✓ Store at room temperature in a glass liter bottle.
**ACTIVE SOIL CARBON PROTOCOL - KMNO₄ LABILE**

Based on Weil et al. (2003) using 0.2M KMnO₄ / 1M CaCl₂ stock:

**Level 1 Stock Standards:** *See insert in binder for easy prep.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final [KMnO₄] (M)</th>
<th>ml 0.2 M KMnO₄ stock</th>
<th>ml DI water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>49.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>48.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>46.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Level 2 Calibration Standards** = 100x dilution of stock standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final [KMnO₄] (mM)</th>
<th>ml stock STD</th>
<th>ml DI water</th>
<th>Example Absorbance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.5 (of 0.0025M stock)</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.5 (of 0.005 M stock)</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5 (of 0.01M stock)</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.5 (of 0.015M stock)</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5 (of 0.02M stock)</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sample Handling:**

- Run samples in triplicate and include a reference and blank sample in each batch. Run in small batches of 12 to avoid biases due to timing delays.
- Weigh 2.5 g (0.0025 kg) of air-dried and ground soil into a 50 ml plastic Falcon tube. For highly degraded soils, use 5.0 g of air-dried soil instead. If you have highly degraded soils, you will notice that there is little to no color change (still very dark purple) and the final sample absorbance values will be high.
- Add 18 ml DI and 2 ml of 0.2M KMnO₄ stock— (this is a 10x dilution resulting in a 0.02M KMnO₄ solution).
- Cap tightly and place on side-to-side shaker at 120 oscillations/min for 2 min.
- Invert tube manually once to capture the soil that has settled in the top portion of the tube.
- Uncap and allow samples to settle upright for 5 minutes, preferably in the dark.
- Pipette 0.5 ml of sample supernatant into a new Falcon tube with 49.5 ml DI and vortex (100x dilution).
- Zero spec with ultrapure DI water and read absorbance at 550 nm. Record on sample data sheet.

**Reagents:**

**0.2 M KMnO₄/ 1M CaCl₂ Stock Solution** In a 1L volumetric flask:
- Fill halfway with ultrapure DI water
- Add **147g** CaCl₂ dihydrate **OR 110.98 g** CaCl₂ anhydrous
- Dissolve and QS to 1L with DI water
- In a 1L beaker fill 900ml with 1M CaCl₂
- Add 31.607g KMnO₄
- Dissolve completely, may take up to ½ hour on a stir plate with low gentle heat.
- Remove from heat and check final pH and adjust to 7.2 as needed with 0.5M NaOH.
- Transfer to a volumetric flask and QS to 1L with remaining CaCl₂ solution

The pH-adjusted 0.2 M KMnO₄ stock solution should be kept in a dark bottle. Shelf life 3-6 months. KMnO₄ stains! Acid bath takes out any discoloration from plastic or glassware, but be careful with fabric or surfaces.

**Notes:**
This method was adapted from Weil. et al. (2003), a method that was designed for use in the field. It has been adapted to facilitate batch analysis.
The KMnO₄ concentration (0.02M in contact with soil) is essential to the integrity of the method. It is better to modify the soil mass (2.5g or 5.0g) rather than the KMnO₄ concentration if there are issues with under- or over-oxidation.
Although the serial dilutions may seem unnecessary and it may be tempting to eliminate those steps, they are essential to achieving accurate concentrations. Do not shortcut these steps!

Remember to modify the calculations if you change the soil mass.
1 mol KMnO₄ reduced (Mn⁷⁺ to Mn⁴⁺) = 0.75 mol C oxidized (9000 mg)
Active C (mg kg⁻¹) = [0.02 mol/l – (a + b X absorbance)] X (9000 mg C/mol) X (0.021 solution/0.0025 kg soil)